



Chairman: Mr J R Cousin, 26 High Tree Drive, Henbury, Macclesfield, SK11 9PD  
T: 01625 610059 E: roger.cousinhenbury@tiscali.co.uk

21 December 2016

Cheshire East Council  
Development Management  
PO Box 606  
Municipal Buildings  
Crewe CW1 9HP

Dear Sirs

**COMMENT on PLANNING APPLICATION 16/5625M : ROUGH HEYS FARM, ROUGH HEYS LANE, HENBURY, CHESHIRE, SK11 9PF**

I write on behalf of the Henbury Society, a civic society whose aims are to preserve and foster the identity of our village and community particularly concentrating on defending the green belt that surrounds us. From the protection of green belt standpoint, we have no objection to this proposal to build a modest number of new dwellings in the Parish without loss of green belt. However we seek clarification as to why the "Application for Planning Permission. Town and Country Planning Act 1990" form refers to four *2 bedroom houses* whereas the "Submitted Plans House Type H" shows these to be *3 bedroom houses*.

We are very concerned about the impact the traffic from this development could have on the very narrow Dark Lane that connects Rough Heys Lane with Church Lane. We believe that, in spite of the proposed modifications to Rough Heys Lane, its junction with the A537 will prove difficult, if not dangerous, for traffic from the development turning right because westbound traffic travelling at 50 mph on the A537 can only be seen when it is 150 meters, or about 7 seconds away. Instead therefore, traffic from the development will be encouraged to use Dark Lane and Church Lane to access the A537. But Dark Lane is no more than one vehicle wide for the whole of its length with no passing places and is often used by pedestrians. We therefore believe that further consideration should be given as to how these narrow roads, Rough Heys Lane and Dark Lane, will be used and appropriate measures put in place to control the traffic.

Yours faithfully,

*J Roger Cousin*

Mr J Roger Cousin  
Chairman, The Henbury Society.